

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . Criminal No. 1:15cr44  
. .  
vs. . Alexandria, Virginia  
. April 17, 2015  
AMAR ENDRIS, . 11:00 a.m.  
. .  
Defendant. .  
. . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: JOHN T. GIBBS, SAUSA  
JACK MORGAN, SAUSA  
United States Attorney's Office  
2100 Jamieson Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22314

FOR THE DEFENDANT: KEVIN R. BREHM  
NICHOLAS J. XENAKIS  
Assistant Federal Public  
Defenders  
Office of the Federal Public  
Defender  
1650 King Street, Suite 500  
Alexandria, VA 22314

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: ANNELIESE J. THOMSON, RDR, CRR  
U.S. District Court, Fifth Floor  
401 Courthouse Square  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
(703)299-8595

(Pages 1 - 11)

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES

2 THE CLERK: Criminal Case 15-44, United States of  
3 America v. Amar Endris. Would counsel please note their  
4 appearances for the record.

5 (Defendant present.)

6 MR. GIBBS: Good morning, Your Honor. John Gibbs and  
7 Jack Morgan on behalf of the United States.

8 THE COURT: Good morning.

9 MR. XENAKIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Nicholas  
10 Xenakis and Kevin Brehm on behalf of Mr. Endris.

11                   THE COURT: All right. Now, the reason we had this  
12 hearing today on such short notice is because I do not intend  
13 to take up the jury's time unnecessarily. This trial starts on  
14 Monday.

15 A motion in limine was filed by the defense.

16 Apparently, it looks as though the defense didn't get all this  
17 information until this week, and so I think this motion  
18 probably could not have been filed any sooner, but the  
19 government did get a chance to respond, and the Court has  
20 looked at the response and has considered it carefully.

21 Who's going to be the lead counsel during the trial,  
22 Mr. Brehm or Mr. Xenakis?

23 MR. XENAKIS: Mr. Brehm will be lead counsel.

24 THE COURT: All right. Then who's going to -- who's  
25 arquing this motion today?

1 MR. XENAKIS: I will be arguing the motion.

2 THE COURT: Well, what I want to know is are you  
3 raising an entrapment defense?

4 MR. XENAKIS: Your Honor, at the moment, we are  
5 intending to raise an entrapment defense.

6 THE COURT: Then I think that clearly makes this  
7 evidence necessary. The government will have to be, will have  
8 to be able to show that the defendant was not entrapped, that  
9 is, that he had a predisposition to obtain an unlawful weapon,  
10 and these three transcripts that are at issue in your motion in  
11 limine are certainly relevant to that issue.

12 MR. XENAKIS: Your Honor, would the Court be willing  
13 to consider -- at the moment, we have the intention of waiting  
14 until the door is opened. I believe that there will be  
15 discussions involving the confidential informant and  
16 Mr. Endris, the recorded conversations and, I believe, Facebook  
17 messages and of the like. I believe that there is a potential  
18 that if those conversations do not move into his  
19 predisposition, that an entrapment defense would ultimately not  
20 be raised.

21 Would the Court be willing to wait until Mr. Endris's  
22 attitude towards obtaining a firearm or previous conversations  
23 with the confidential informant about obtaining a firearm are  
24 entered into evidence and are, and are contested? Would the  
25 Court be willing to wait until that moment to introduce these

1 three separate conversations, which we would offer are more  
2 tangential, and, in fact, as the Court would note, none of them  
3 actually directly go toward any comment about obtaining a gun  
4 specifically with an obliterated serial number?

5 Based on that, we would offer that their relevance  
6 would be much more questioned, and their prejudicial value --

7 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this: In terms of  
8 the offense itself, what is the scienter requirement for this  
9 offense?

10 MR. XENAKIS: Knowledge.

11 THE COURT: Simply knowledge that one is in  
12 possession of a firearm, or must there be specific knowledge  
13 that the firearm at issue lacks a serial number?

14 MR. XENAKIS: That the firearm lacks, lacks the  
15 serial number, both, Your Honor. It's a two-element offense.

16 THE COURT: All right. Does the government agree  
17 that knowledge of both elements -- both facts is necessary?

18 MR. GIBBS: We do, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right. How was the lack of a serial  
20 number communicated to this defendant?

21 MR. GIBBS: Judge, the defendant for a period of  
22 time -- and the three transcripts the Court has are included in  
23 that -- talked about his desire to get a firearm and to get a  
24 firearm that was untraceable. Those conversations occurred in  
25 August.

1                   On October 1 of that same year, the CHS spoke to the  
2 defendant and told him he could get him a firearm, which is  
3 what the defendant had been asking about, and at that time, he  
4 told him it was obliterated, and he told him that was illegal,  
5 and the defendant's response was to say, "Don't talk to me  
6 about that on the phone. Let's meet."

7                   And soon thereafter, they met, and the defendant  
8 expressed a willingness to buy the firearm. He told the  
9 defendant (sic) he wanted to get it, and they negotiated on a  
10 price.

11                  THE COURT: So you're saying that actually -- I might  
12 have missed that in this conversation -- the word "obliterated"  
13 is really there, serial number is obliterated? I didn't think  
14 it was.

15                  MR. GIBBS: No, not in the three transcripts that the  
16 defense is attempting to suppress --

17                  THE COURT: All right.

18                  MR. GIBBS: -- but you asked about when it was  
19 raised.

20                  The October 1 conversation was the first time it was  
21 introduced.

22                  THE COURT: And that's recorded?

23                  MR. GIBBS: It is recorded, Judge.

24                  THE COURT: That's going to be played for the jury?

25                  MR. GIBBS: It is, Your Honor.

1                   THE COURT: You have transcripts of all these  
2 recordings, correct?

3                   MR. GIBBS: We do, Judge.

4                   THE COURT: All right. I'm going to deny the motion  
5 in limine. The government -- these three conversations are  
6 adequately related. They're not unduly prejudicial. If the  
7 entrapment defense is not raised, they may then not be  
8 appropriate, but I don't know how else you could defend this  
9 case, frankly, from what I'm hearing from the government.

10                  You know, if you come in Monday morning at quarter of  
11 ten and say, "We're not going to raise an entrapment defense,"  
12 then I may have to reconsider some of this, all right?

13                  MR. XENAKIS: Understood, Your Honor.

14                  THE COURT: All right. Just for my knowledge, is the  
15 defendant a U.S. citizen?

16                  MR. XENAKIS: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, Your Honor.

17                  THE COURT: All right. I will tell the government I  
18 am somewhat concerned by the evidence of the mental health  
19 background of this defendant. I don't know whether, you know,  
20 what's going on here. Given the value of that particular  
21 witness you have in this case, I hope that this is a wise  
22 enterprise on Monday.

23                  In any case, how long is this case going to take to  
24 try? What's your best estimate?

25                  MR. GIBBS: Judge, unless jury selection takes a long

1 time, I think it's going to take a day.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MR. GIBBS: And if, if I could indulge the Court,  
4 Your Honor mentioned about not wasting the jury's time with  
5 tangential issues. You just mentioned the mental health  
6 question, and I wanted to raise that with the Court.

7 Mr. Brehm had provided us this week with the two --  
8 well, I'd gotten one, the 2013 report at the bond hearing. The  
9 second report that talked about some sort of autism spectrum  
10 disorder, the doctor didn't even look at him until this month.  
11 We got that a few days ago.

12 I asked Mr. Brehm if I could see the qualifications  
13 for the doctors who would be testifying. He informed me at  
14 that time he's not going to be calling witnesses on that point,  
15 and so the concern, Judge, is in the motion to suppress that  
16 was just filed --

17 THE COURT: It's a motion in limine. It results  
18 possibly in suppression --

19 MR. GIBBS: Right.

20 THE COURT: -- but it's a motion in limine.

21 MR. GIBBS: Right. Thank you, Judge.

22 And on page 2, the defendant said, "At the time,  
23 Mr. Endris was a 19-year-old and had a documented cognitive  
24 disability that he had informed the CI about."

25 I don't believe that's right. First of all, the

1 diagnosis didn't occur until April, and the CHS's last contact  
2 with him was in December, so I don't believe the defendant --  
3 that that happened. I don't think the defendant told him about  
4 that, but our objection would be to trying to back-door in  
5 inadmissible hearsay about a mental disorder through a CHS.  
6 The CHS is not some sort of trained doctor.

7 So, you know, we're going to be alert to that, and I  
8 think if the defense tries to ask those questions, it would be  
9 inappropriate, and we would object.

10 THE COURT: Is that part of the plan from the  
11 defense?

12 MR. XENAKIS: Your Honor, we don't plan on  
13 introducing mental health evidence through the, through the  
14 confidential informant. I believe it's our understanding that  
15 Mr. Endris has dealt with a, has dealt with a disability for  
16 most of his life, and we have a basis to believe that he  
17 informed the confidential informant about that. I don't  
18 believe that we're going to be asking the confidential  
19 informant about any specifics or logistics on that matter.

20 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll face that issue  
21 if and when it comes up.

22 All right. Anything further on this case?

23 MR. GIBBS: Just briefly, Judge. One question we  
24 had -- one of the pieces of evidence is \$300 in cash. I never  
25 like to have a lot of money in the courtroom. We were going to

1 take a picture of it unless the defense or the Court has a  
2 problem with that.

3 THE COURT: Any objection to the photographic  
4 substitution?

5 MR. BREHM: No, Your Honor. I believe they're going  
6 to take that same procedure with the firearm, questioning the  
7 firearm. Physically, it will be offered as an exhibit, but the  
8 exhibit list, which we received a few days ago, and we  
9 appreciate that, includes some photographs of the firearm which  
10 we received in discovery as well, so I imagine that the -- what  
11 might be sent back to the jury would be photographs of the gun.  
12 That's often a standard procedure in a firearms case.

13 We have no problem with doing that with the money,  
14 and, in fact, if the money is itself offered as evidence but  
15 then photographs are also offered in evidence of that same  
16 money and the photographs are the ones sent back to the jury,  
17 we wouldn't have any opposition to that at all.

18 THE COURT: All right. So the cash does not need to  
19 be in the courtroom at all.

20 MR. GIBBS: Okay.

21 THE COURT: We'll accept a photographic substitution.

22 I normally have the firearm physically in the  
23 courtroom. I usually let Mr. Wood publish it to the jury, but  
24 when it goes back to the jury room for deliberation, they'll  
25 get the photograph substitution.

1                   MR. GIBBS: And that's our plan, Judge. That's  
2 exactly the way we --

3                   THE COURT: Any, any objection to doing it that way?

4                   MR. BREHM: No. That's the understanding I just  
5 expressed.

6                   THE COURT: Fine.

7                   MR. BREHM: And that is often the practice. We have  
8 no objection to that, Your Honor.

9                   THE COURT: Fine.

10                  MR. BREHM: And then I just, in terms of the -- it's  
11 going to be a fairly short trial, but I hate to ever  
12 underestimate the time and have the Court rely on that.

13                  THE COURT: I've got two days blocked out, so we  
14 shouldn't have any problem with it.

15                  MR. BREHM: I would expect the evidence would be  
16 finished at least by lunch on Tuesday anyway.

17                  THE COURT: All right, that's fine.

18                  MR. GIBBS: And then the last thing, Judge, you had  
19 asked about the transcripts. We do have all that ready to go.  
20 Would it be possible for us to come into the courtroom, make  
21 arrangements to come in at some point today and have Mr. Regin  
22 just cue it up to do a test run before trial on Monday?

23                  THE COURT: Yes. You'll need to coordinate that  
24 either with Ms. Guyton or with Lance Bachman, all right?

25                  MR. GIBBS: I think he's been in touch with

1 Mr. Bachman.

2 THE COURT: All right. I really don't want it Monday  
3 morning, so if you're going to do it -- and we have the  
4 Introduction to the Courthouse program this afternoon at three,  
5 and he's involved with that, so I leave it with you, but just,  
6 you know, we'll not hold up the jury trial Monday morning, all  
7 right?

8 MR. GIBBS: Our thought was to do it today, Judge.

9 THE COURT: All right. Well, hopefully, he can do  
10 it.

11 All right, that concludes the criminal docket, and  
12 we're going to take a five-minute recess, and we'll start the  
13 civil docket at quarter after.

14 (Which were all the proceedings  
15 had at this time.)

16

17 CERTIFICATE OF THE REPORTER

18 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of  
19 the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

20

21

22

/s/  
Anneliese J. Thomson

23

24

25